



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/758,645	01/10/2001	Jeffrey Allen Hamilton	37304-0200	9524

36178 7590 09/15/2003

LEE G. MEYER, ESQ.
MEYER & ASSOCIATES, LLC
17462 E. POWERS DRIVE
CENTENNIAL, CO 80015-3046

EXAMINER

TANG, SON M

ART UNIT PAPER NUMBER

2632

DATE MAILED: 09/15/2003

17

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
	09/758,645	HAMILTON ET AL.	
	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Daniel J. Wu	2632	

All Participants:

(1) Daniel J. Wu.

(2) Mr. Lee G. Meyer.

Status of Application: *Response to non-final office action entered and forwarded to examiner.*

(3) _____

(4) _____

Date of Interview: 12 September 2003

Time: 1:25pm, EST

Type of Interview:

- ☒ Telephonic
☐ Video Conference
☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☐ No
 If Yes, provide a brief description:

Part I.

Rejection(s) discussed:
N/A

Claims discussed:
N/A


Prior art documents discussed:
N/A

Part II.

SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:
See Continuation Sheet

Part III.

- ☒ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.
☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.


 (Examiner/SPE Signature)

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was discussed: Examiner informed Mr. Meyer that the amendment filed on 07/28/2003 fulfilled the requirement of Notice of Improper RCE paper mailed on 06/23/2003. Thus, the statutory period set by the Final rejection on 01/28/2003 is no longer applicable. The application is in regular amendment status and waiting for the examiner Tang to work on in due course. Examiner Tang will consider all arguments regarding the claimed invention in conjunction with the amendment.